

PUBLISHING ETHICS

GENERAL PRINCIPLES

Acceptance processes and publication processes in International Congress on 3D Printing (Additive Manufacturing) Technologies and Digital Industry form the basis for the development and distribution of information in an impartial and respectful manner. The processes applied in this direction reflect the quality of the work of the authors and institutions supporting the authors. It is important that all stakeholders (writers, readers and researchers, publishers, referees, and editors) in the process follow the standards for ethical principles.. Basic publication principles include: proceeding originality, high potential to receive citations, and suitability with academic standards. The scientific, ethical, and legal responsibilities for proceedings published with DOI registration belong to the authors.

International Congress on 3D Printing (Additive Manufacturing) Technologies and Digital Industry Management (Preliminary Evaluation Committee, Publication Committee, and Editor) checks all submissions for plagiarism by submitting proceedings to the Ithenticate® plagiarism detection site prior to evaluating any of the congress proceedings. Similarity percentage is determined as 20% (Excluding bibliography) and papers over 20% similarity percentage will not be accepted for evaluation.

In the scope of International Congress on 3D Printing (Additive Manufacturing) Technologies and Digital Industry publishing ethics, all stakeholders are expected to carry out the related ethical responsibilities. The following ethical duties and responsibilities have been set up as open access, taking into account guidelines and policies published by the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE), Council of Higher Education Directive On Scientific Research and Publication Ethics, Interuniversity Board Scientific Research and Publication Ethics Directive.

ETHICAL DUTIES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

For Authors;

The author and/or authors who submitted the proceeding to International Congress on 3D Printing (Additive Manufacturing) Technologies and Digital Industry are expected to comply with the related ethical responsibilities:

- The author and/or authors who submitted the proceeding to OPUS are expected to comply with the following ethical responsibilities: to make a complete and accurate presentation and / or quote the authors in order to ensure that the works they send are unique, the authors use other works or use other works.
- The author and/or authors is/are required
- All work submitted for publication should be clarified if there is a conflict of interest and related.
- The author and/or authors may request raw data on their proceedings within the framework of evaluation processes, in which case the author(s) should be prepared to submit the expected data and information to the editors' board and the scientific committee.
- The author and/or authors must have a document showing that the data used have fulfilled the authorization to use the research/analysis or the authorization procedure for the trial being tested.

- The author and/or authors has/have an obligation to cooperate with the editor in informing, correcting or withdrawing the congress editor or publisher if he or she notices a mistake or error related to the published early appearance or evaluation stage.
- The author and/or authors can not have their work in the application process of more than one congress at the same time. Each application can be started following the completion of the previous application. Published work in another congress can not be sent to the OPUS-International Journal of Society Researches.
- Changing the author responsibilities of a worker who has started the evaluation process (such as adding authors, changing author order, authoring, etc.) can not be offered.

For Editors/Field Editors;

Editors/field editors are responsible for every publication posted on International Congress on 3D Printing (Additive Manufacturing) Technologies and Digital Industry. The editors/field editors of International Congress on 3D Printing (Additive Manufacturing) Technologies and Digital Industry will provide the related ethical duties and responsibilities based on the guidelines of the COPE Code of Conduct and Best Practice Guidelines for Congress Editors and COPE Best Practice Guidelines for Congress Editors published by the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) should have:

- Efforts to meet the information needs of readers and authors,
- Continuously make contribution for developing the congress,
- Conducting processes to improve the quality of work published in the congress,
- Supporting the freedom of thought,
- Providing integrity in academic terms,
- Continuing business processes without compromising on intellectual property rights and ethical standards,
- Display clarity and transparency in terms of publication in matters requiring correction and clarification.
- Editors; is responsible for publishing every proceeding published in the congress in accordance with congress publication policies and international standards.
- Editors; and to ensure the protection of personal data relating to the subjects or images included in the assessed work. It is the duty of the individuals employed in the work to refuse to work as long as they are not certified. Also editors; authors, referees and readers.

The duties and responsibilities of the editors and field editors towards the authors are as follows:

- Editors and/or field editors should make positive or negative decisions based on the importance of their work, their original value, their validity, the clarity of the narrative and the aims and objectives of the congress.
- As long as the studies appropriate for the scope of the publication are not serious problems, they should be taken at the preliminary evaluation stage.

- Editors and/or field editors should not ignore the referee's suggestions on the positive side unless there is a serious problem with the work.
- New editors and/or field editors should change the decisions made by previous editors (s) for their work unless there is a serious problem.
- The "Blind Review and Evaluation Process" must be published and the editors must avoid any deviations that may occur in the identified processes.
- Editors and/or field editors should publish a "Writer's Guide" which contains in detail all the topics expected by the authors. These guides should be updated at specific time intervals.
- Authors should be informed and informed in a clear and informed way.

The duties and responsibilities of the editors and/or field editors against the referees are as follows:

- Determine referees in accordance with the topic of the study.
- It is obliged to provide information and guidance that referees will need in the evaluation phase.
- Editors and/or field editors should consider whether there is a conflict of interest between the authors and the referees.
- Editors and/or field editors should keep the referee's credentials confidential in the context of blind refereeing.
- Editors and/or field editors should encourage referees to evaluate impartial, scientific and objective work.
- Editors and/or field editors should evaluate referees with timely feedback and performance.
- Editors and/or field editors should determine policies and practices that enhance referees' performance.
- Editors and/or field editors should take steps to update the referee pool continuously and dynamically.
- Editors and/or field editors should avoid imprudent and non-scientific evaluations.
- Editors and/or field editors should take steps to ensure that the pool of referees is formed in a wide range.